HON. RICHARD A. JONES

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JASON STANLEY,

Defendant.

NO. CR20-222-RAJ

ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE

The Court, having considered Defendant Jason Stanley's unopposed Motion to Continue the Trial Date and Pretrial Motions Deadline, hereby finds that:

- (a) taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny counsel for defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, due to counsel's need for more time to review the evidence, consider possible defenses, and gather evidence material to the defense, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(B)(iv) due in part to counsel's recent appointment, and extending past the current trial date of June 27, 2022 in this matter;
- (b) a failure to grant a continuance in this proceeding would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(B)(I); and
- (c) the additional time requested between the current trial date of June 27, 2022, and October 31, 2022, the new trial date, is necessary to provide counsel for the

ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE United States v. Jason Stanley, CR20-222 RAJ - 1 defendant the reasonable time necessary to prepare for trial, considering counsel's recent appointment and all of the facts set forth above.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant Jason Stanley's unopposed Motion to Continue the Trial Date and Pretrial Motions Deadline (Dkt. 137) is GRANTED. The trial date in this matter is continued to October 31, 2022.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all pretrial motions, including motions in limine, shall be filed no later than September 19, 2022.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time between the date of this order and the new trial date of October 31, 2022, is excluded in computing the time within which trial must commence because the ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Failure to grant this continuance would likely make trial impossible and result in a miscarriage of justice, and would deny counsel for the defendants and counsel for the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. *Id.* § (B)(i), (iv).

DATED this 6th day of June, 2022.

25

The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge

Richard A Jones